UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/581,312	04/24/2007	Javier Salazar Corcuera	21029-00312-US1	8442		
	7590 06/21/201 OVE LODGE & HUT		EXAMINER			
1875 EYE STR		BERRY JR, WILLIE WENDELL				
SUITE 1100 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20006		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3652			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			06/21/2011	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/581,312	CORCUERA, JAVIER SALAZAR	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	WILLIE BERRY JR	3652	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence a	ddress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a and will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI ate, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this of BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ The Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal mat	·	e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 7-13 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdred 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 7-9 and 11-13 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 10 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a continuous applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the continuous and the continuous and the correct of the continuous and the continuo	ccepted or b) objected to ne drawing(s) be held in abeya ection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 C	, ,
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ents have been received. ents have been received in Actionity documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National	l Stage
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application	

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7-9 and 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over patent no. 7,419,349 to Goodrich in view of patent no. 4,966,516 to Vartanian.

Regarding claims 7, 11 and 12, Goodrich discloses two rectangular frames (not numbered, but shown in fig. 13) attached by a hinge (not numbered, but shown in fig. 14), a second hinge (not numbered, but shown in fig. 4; note: hinge used to swing wheelchair assembly outside doorframe), a first fixed floor section (34), a moving floor section (36), a cable brake (198), a suspension cable (188) and a hydraulic means for controlling deployment (186 and col. 12, lines 30-35).

Goodrich discloses the claimed invention except for a second fixed and moving floor sections and locking mechanism.

Vartanian discloses that it is known to have a locking mechanism (col. 8, lines 54-63) in a vehicle access ramp.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Goodrich with the teachings of Vartanian for the purpose of providing additional functionality to the ramp.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include second fixed and moving floor sections, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. *St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co.*, 193 USPQ 8.

Regarding claim 8, Goodrich discloses guides (52 and 52') and runners (col. 7, lines 1-5).

Goodrich does not disclose a second set of guide and runners.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a second set of guides and runners, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. *St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co.*, 193 USPQ 8.

Regarding claim 9, Goodrich discloses a groove (not numbered, but shown in fig. 9) in the guide.

Regarding claim 13, Vartanian discloses a locking mechanism.

Vartanian does not disclose a locking mechanism having a push-rod and cable.

However, the prior art element performs the function specified in the claim in substantially the same manner as the function is performed by the corresponding element described in the specification, and such structure are considered art recognized equivalent structures and would have functioned at least equally as well. It would have been obvious to modify the device in this way for the purpose of providing an alternative arrangement that would have functioned at least equally as well.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 10 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 7-13 filed 3/28/11 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to WILLIE BERRY JR whose telephone number is (571)272-6191. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 11:30-8pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Saul Rodriguez can be reached on (571)272-7097. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Application/Control Number: 10/581,312 Page 5

Art Unit: 3652

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Saul J. Rodriguez/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3652

Wbj.